Case3:10-cv-00816-EDL Document60 Filed05/10/10 Page1 of 3

1	John L. Cooper (State Bar No. 050324)		
2	jcooper@fbm.com Stephanie Skaff (State Bar No. 183119)		
3	sskaff@fbm.com Eugene Y. Mar (State Bar No. 227071)		
4	emar@fbm.com Farella Braun & Martel LLP		
5	235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104		
6	Telephone: (415) 954-4400 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480		
7	Attorneys for Defendants TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and		
8	ALLIACENSE LIMITED		
9	Charles T. Hoge, Esq. (State Bar No. 110696) choge@knlh.com		
10	Kirby Noonan Lance & Hoge 35 Tenth Avenue San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 231-8666		
11			
12	Facsimile: (619) 231-9593 Attorneys for Defendant		
13	PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
17			
18	SIRIUS XM RADIO, INC.,	Case No. C10-00816 EDL	
19	Plaintiff,	TPL'S, PATRIOT'S AND ALLIACENSE'S ANSWER TO	
20	VS.	COUNTERCLAIM FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ON THE	
21	TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION,	'949 PATENT	
22	and ALLIACENSE LIMITED,	The Hon. Elizabeth D. Laporte	
23	Defendants.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
24	Deslamata mainda mant defendente en de		
25	Declaratory judgment defendants and Counter-Claimants Technology Properties Limited		
26	("TPL"), Patriot Scientific Corporation ("Patriot"), and Alliacense Limited ("Alliacense") (TPL,		
27	Patriot, and Alliacense are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants") hereby answer		
28 H LLP 7th Floor	TPL'S, ALLIACENSE'S AND PATRIOT'S ANSWER		
4104	,		

Farella Braun & Martel LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Flor San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400

1	declaratory judgment plaintiff Sirius XM Radio, Inc.'s ("Sirius XM" or "Plaintiff") Counterclain		
2	as set forth below:		
3	1. Responding to paragraph 1 of the Counterclaim, Defendants admit that this is an		
4	action arising under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§101, et seq.		
5	THE PARTIES		
6	2. Responding to paragraph 2 of the Counterclaim, Defendants admit that Plaintiff		
7	represents in its Counterclaim that it is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware and		
8	has its principal place of business at 1221 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 1002		
9	Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as the truth of the		
10	remaining allegations, and therefore deny each and every allegation therein.		
11	3. Responding to paragraph 3 of the Counterclaim, Defendants admit that TPL		
12	maintains its principal place of business at 20400 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Cupertino, CA and		
13	that TPL is the co-owner of the '336, '749, and '890 Patents, and is the sole owner of the '212,		
14	'949 and '853 Patents. Defendants further admit that TPL is a limited liability corporation		
15	organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. Except as so expressly admitted		
16	denied.		
17	4. Responding to paragraph 4 of the Counterclaim, Defendants admit that Patriot is a		
18	corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business		
19	at 6183 Paseo Del Norte, Suite 180, Carlsbad, California 92011. Defendants further admit that		
20	Patriot Scientific Corporation is a co-owner of the '336, '749, and '890 Patents. Except as so		
21	expressly admitted, denied.		
22	5. Responding to paragraph 5 of the Counterclaim, Defendants admit that Alliacense		
23	Limited is a limited liability corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of		
24	Delaware with its principal place of business at 20400 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Cupertino,		
25	California. Alliacense has represented that it is a TPL Group enterprise. Except as so expressly		
26	admitted, denied.		
27	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
28	6. Responding to paragraph 6 of the Counterclaim, admitted.		

1	7. Responding to paragraph 7	of the Counterclaim, admitted.	
2	FIRST COUNTERCLAIM		
3	8. Responding to paragraph 8 of the Counterclaim, denied.		
4	<u>DEFENDANTS' AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>		
5	Defendants allege and assert the following defenses in response to the allegations of the		
6	Counterclaim, undertaking the burden of proof only as to those defenses deemed affirmative		
7	defenses by law, regardless of how such defenses are denominated herein:		
8	1. Plaintiff Sirius XM has infringed the '949 Patent.		
9	2. The asserted claims of and t	the '949 Patent are valid and enforceable.	
10	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
11	A. WHEREFORE, TPL, Alliac	cense and Patriot respectfully request that Sirius XM	
12	Radio, Inc. take nothing by way of its Counterclaim, and that judgment be entered in favor of		
13	TPL, Alliacense and Patriot that this is an exceptional case and awarding TPL, Alliacense and		
14	Patriot attorneys' fees under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and for such other and further relief at law and in		
15	equity as the Court may deem just and proper.		
16	Dated: May 10, 2010	FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP	
17			
18		By: /s/ John L. Cooper John L. Cooper	
19		•	
20		Attorneys for Defendant TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED and ALLIACENSE LIMITED	
21		ALLIACENSE LIMITED	
22	Dated: May 10, 2010	KIRBY NOONAN LANCE & HOGE, LLP	
23			
24		By: <u>/s/ Charles T. Hoge</u> Charles T. Hoge	
25		Attorneys for Defendant	
26		PATRIOT SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION	
27			
28			
el LLP 17th Floor 14104	TPL'S, ALLIACENSE AND PATRIOT'S ANSWER TO SIRIUS XM'S COUNTERCLAIM	- 3 - 25645\2250283.1	